

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/970,649	Applicant(s) JONSSON ET AL.	
	Examiner San-ming Hui	Art Unit 1617	

All Participants:

(1) San-ming Hui.

(2) Ms. Naomi Biswas, applicant's representative.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 4 January 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Ms. Biswas informed the Examiner that no reply was filed to the outstanding office action mailed June 27, 2006 in favor of prosecuting the continuation application.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 1/7/07
 (Examiner/SPE Signature) _____ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)